

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMAR MENESE,

Case No. 2:23-cv-00976-WBS-JDP (PC)

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

RICK HILL, et al.,

Defendants.

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On July 12, 2024, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on plaintiff, and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. *See Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court . . ."). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by

1 the record and by the proper analysis.

2 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

3 1. The findings and recommendations, filed July 12, 2024, are adopted in full;
4 2. This action is dismissed pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
5 for failure to prosecute; and
6 3. The Clerk of Court is directed to close the case.

7 Dated: August 29, 2024


8 WILLIAM B. SHUBB
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28